Major product 18b: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.6-7.24 (m, PhH ), 5.7 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.49 (dd, $J=8.39,5.49 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}$ ), 3.32 (dt, $J=8.7,2.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCHCH} 2), 3.23\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.79(\mathrm{ddd}, J=16.17$, $6.08,1.98 \mathrm{~Hz},=\mathrm{CHCHH}), 2.63(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{d}, J=5.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, allylic CH), $2.46-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 179.09, 177.56, 140.27, 132.14, 129.16, 128.52, 126.42, 119.81, 76.78, $48.5,45.65,42.14,40.95,31.81,31.15,24.02,21.39,20.31$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ $1710,1500,1450,1390 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; high-resolution mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right)$ 326.1756, found 326.1785 .

X-ray Data. The crystals are triclinic, space group $P 1$, with $a=7.62$ (4) $\AA, b=9.37$ (6) $\AA, c=12.33$ (3) $\AA$, and $\rho_{\text {calc }}=1.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $Z$ $=2 \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, M=325.41$. The intensity data were measured on a rotation anode diffractometer ( $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation). The size of the crystal used for data collection was approximately $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. A total of 2760 independent reflections were measured for $\theta<60^{\circ}$, of which 2333 were used for structure refinement ( $I>3.0 \sigma I$ ). The structure was solved by a multisolution procedure (SDP software) and was refined by full-matrix least squares. In the final refinement, the hydrogen atoms were added and included in the structure factors but their parameters were not refined. The final discrepancy indices are $R=0.109$ and $R_{w}$ $=0.109$ and 0.112 for 2333 observed reflections.

The other fraction was the minor adduct 17 b ( $115 \mathrm{mg}, 18.6 \%$ ), which was isolated along with a trace of NPM. Minor adduct 17 b : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.6-7.3$ (m, PhH ), 5.7 ( $\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ), 3.46 (dd, $J=8.97,7.59 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCH}), 3.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.3,3.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{COCHCH})_{2}$, $3.13\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 2.8(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{appd}, J=7.69 \mathrm{~Hz}$, allylic CH), 2.5-1.4 (m, 7 H ), $1.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; $1 \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 1710,1495,1450,1385$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; high-resolution mass calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}\right) 326.1756$, found 326.1686 .

Reaction of 3-[(Trimethylsilyl)oxy]-3-methyl-1-vinylcyclohexene (7c) with $\boldsymbol{N}$-Phenylmaleimide. A solution of silyl ether $7 \mathrm{c}(123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59$ mmol ) and $N$-phenylmaleimide ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.59 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 2 mL ) was stirred for 5 days. The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the ${ }^{1} H$ NMR showed the formation of two products. PLC separation (petroleum ether/EtOAc, 8:2) furnished two fractions. The major fraction was a mixture of adducts 17 c and $18 \mathrm{c}(113 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ), which could not be further separated. The second fraction was the unreacted NPM ( 37 mg ).

Hydrolysis of Silyl Adduct Mixture of 17 c and 18c, To a methanolic solution ( 2 mL ) of a silyl adduct mixture of 17 c and $18 \mathrm{c}(113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29$ mmol ) was added a few drops of saturated oxalic acid solution, and the solution was stirred for 0.5 h . Solvent was removed, and the resulting mass was dried under vacuum. The crude mixture was separated by PLC $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 9: 1\right)$ to give lactone 19 ( $16.1 \mathrm{mg}, 17.5 \%$ ) and anti alcohol 18a ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 74.1 \%$ ).

Lactonization of 18a, A methanolic solution ( 1 mL ) of the anti alcohol 18 ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with a few drops of saturated oxalic acid solution was kept under reflux for 72 h . Solvent was removed, and the crude mixture was purified by passing through a short column of Florisil and by eluting with EtOAc. Evaporation of solvent furnished a pasty mass, and ' H NMR showed a mixture of products. The major product was identical with the tricyclic lactone 19. The mixture was not further separated.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by Grant CA 37359 from the NCI of DHHS. NMR instruments used were obtained through Grants NSF PCMI11745 and NIH RR 03214. We thank Professor L. E. Overman for a preprint of his paper.

# Tandem Anionic [3,3] Sigmatropy and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ Displacement. New Synthetic Technology for the Construction of Hydroazulenone and Related Frameworks 

Leo A. Paquette* and Yao-Jun Shi ${ }^{1}$<br>Contribution from the Evans Chemical Laboratories. The Ohio State University. Columbus. Ohio 43210. Received April 27. 1990


#### Abstract

Transmetalation of the 3-(trimethylstannyl)-2-norcarenes 9 and 28b provides for the acquisition of optically pure bicyclic vinyllithium derivatives. These have been added to ( $\pm$ )-2-chlorocyclohexanone and the resultant cis-chlorohydrins have been exposed to excess vinylmagnesium bromide under conditions which promote pinacol rearrangement and allow for subsequent 1,2 -addition to the newly liberated carbonyl group. Following analysis of the response of divinyl carbinols 12 and 13 to anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement, the title process has been examined for 31-34. The precise conformational demands have been analyzed for each example. To some extent these are a function of the usual energetic advantages that accrue to chairlike conformations. However, other factors clearly contravene. These capabilities allow in turn for both syn and anti $S_{N}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement of methoxide ion. The sequential operation of a $[3,3]$ sigmatropic step and $S_{N}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement is shown to be a powerful tool for rapid hydroazulenone construction.


Hydroazulenoid ring systems are structural units frequently encountered in naturally occurring substances such as the guaianolides and pseudoguaianolides. ${ }^{2}$ Due to the high level of interest in these bioactive molecules ${ }^{3}$ and the well-recognized problems associated with medium-ring construction, elaboration of these often richly functionalized target molecules has come to be regarded as a challenging and attractive synthetic undertaking.

[^0]Achievements in the last 15 years have been truly impressive, culminating inter alia in total syntheses of bulnesol, ${ }^{4}$ carpesiolin, ${ }^{5}$ confertin, ${ }^{6}$ cyclocolorenone, ${ }^{7}$ damsin, ${ }^{8}$ damsinic acid, ${ }^{9}$ estafiatin, ${ }^{10}$
(4) (a) Andersen, N. H.; Uh, H. Synth. Commun. 1973, 3, 115. (b) Heathcock, C. H.; Ratcliffe, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 1746.
(5) Kok, P.; DeClercq, P.; Vandewalle, M. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4553.
(6) (a) Marshall, J. A.; Ellison, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4312. (b) Semmelhack, M. F.; Yamashita, A.; Tomesch, J. C.; Hirotsu, K. Ibid. 1978, 100, 5565. (c) Heathcock, C. H.; Delmar, E. G.; Graham, S. L. Ibid. 1982, 104, 1907. (d) Wender, P. A.; Eissenstat, M. A.; Filosa, M. P. Ibid. 1979, 40, 1656.
(7) Caine, D.; Gupton, J. T. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1656.
(8) (a) Kretchmer, R. A.; Thompson, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 3379. (b) Grieco. P. A.; Ohfune, Y.; Majetich, G. Ibid. 1977, 99, 7393. (c) Roberts, M. R.; Schlessinger, R. H. Ibid. 1979, 101, 7627.
globulol, ${ }^{11}$ guaiol, ${ }^{12}$ hysterin, ${ }^{13}$ kessanol, ${ }^{14}$ and parthanin. ${ }^{15}$ The strategies have been widely varied with only limited attention being paid to transannular cyclizations of 10 -membered ring precursors. Notable exceptions include the solvolytic reactions applied to $1^{11}$ and $2^{16}$ and the base-promoted closure of $3^{8 \mathrm{a}}$


In connection with our program directed toward the total synthesis of tumor-promoter ingenol (4) esters, ${ }^{17}$ diterpenes that feature a hydroazulenic substructure within a more elaborate tetracyclic framework, we set out to investigate the workability of a new, concise synthetic protocol for accessing structurally complex hydroazulenones. In this context, we were particularly

struck by the ease with which suitably constructed medium-ring enolate anions typified by 5 and 7 are capable of undergoing remarkably facile transannular displacement of methoxide ion, as exemplified by their conversion to 6 and 8 , respectively. The combination of this new bond-forming scheme and the well-recognized, though largely untapped, potential of oxy anionic [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangements to transfer asymmetry in highly
(9) (a) Lansbury, P. T.; Serelis, A. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1909. (b) See: ref $6 d$.
(10) Edgar, M. T.; Greene, A. E.; Crabbe, P. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 159.
(11) Marshall, J. A.; Ruth, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 1971.
(12) (a) Marshall, J. A.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 982. (b) Marshall, J. A.; Greene, A. E.; Ruden, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 855. (c) Marshall, J. A.; Greene, A. E. Ibid. 1971, 859. (b) Buchanan, G. L.; Young, G. A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. I 1973, 2404. (e) Andersen, N. H.; Uh, H. S. Ibid. 1977, 3699.
(13) Demuynck, M.; DeClercq, P.; Vandewalle, M. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 4863.
(14) Andersen, N. H.; Golec, F. A., Jr. Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 3783.
(15) Heathcock, C. H.; Germroth, T. C.; Tice, C. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6081 .
(16) Marshall, J. A.; Snyder, W. R. J. Org. Chem. 1975, 40, 1656.
(17) (a) Paquette, L. A.; Ross, R. J.; Springer, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, /10, 6192. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Nitz, T. J.; Ross, R. J.; Springer, J. P. Ibid. 1984, 106, 1446. (c) Ross, R. J.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 5497.
(18) Paquette, L. A.; Reagan, J.; Schreiber, S. L.; Teleha, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2331.


Figure 1. ORTEP drawing for 16. The non-hydrogen atoms are represented by $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms are drawn with an arbitrary radius.
controlled fashion ${ }^{19-21}$ prompted investigation of the suitability of this tandem reaction sequence to the goals outlined above. ${ }^{22}$


## Results

Assessment of the [3,3] Sigmatropic Component. The studies described herein were formulated to set the stage for ultimate arrival at 4. The initial intent was to show workability in model systems as a prelude to demonstrating scope in the context of a total synthesis of one or more of the ingenanes. In either event, strong inducement existed for the opportunity to learn more about the reactivity of optically active 2 -carenes ${ }^{23}$ in the context of their participation in [3,3] sigmatropic reactions.

The problem was subdivided into its two obvious components. In the first, we sought to establish the serviceability of the anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement ${ }^{24}$ under conditions where ensuing $S_{N}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement is not at issue. Pertinent stereochemical features
(19) (a) Hill, R. K. In Assymetric Synthesis; Morrison, J. D., Ed.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, 1984. (b) Hill. R. K. In Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Volume 5, Paquette, L. A., Ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, in press; Vol. 5.
(20) (a) Paquette, L. A.; He, W.; Rogers, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2291. (b) Paquette, L. A.; DeRussy, D. T.; Gallucci, J. C. Ibid. 1989, 54, 2278. (c) Paquette, L. A.; Oplinger, J. A. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 107. (d) Paquette, L. A.; DeRussy, D. T.; Cottrell, C. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, I10, 890. (e) Paquette, L. A.; Learn, K. S.; Romine, J. L.; Lin, H.-S. Ibid. 1988, 110, 879. (f) Paquette, L. A.; Learn, K. S.; Romine, J. L. Tetrahedron 1987. 43, 4989. (g) Paquette, L. A.; Romine, J. L.; Lin, H.-S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 31. (h) Paquette, L. A.; Learn, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7873.
(21) (a) Paquette, L. A.; Teleha, C. A.; Taylor, R. T.; Maynard, G. D.; Rogers, R. D.; Gallucci, J. C.; Springer, J. P. J. Am. Chem. SOc. 1990, II2, 265. (b) Paquette, L. A.; Pegg, N. A.; Toops, D.; Maynard, G. D.; Rogers, R. D. Ibid. 1990, II2, 277.
(22) Preliminary communication: Paquette, L. A.; Shi, Y.J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5205.
(23) Paquette, L. A.; Ross, R. J.; Shi, Y..J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1589.
(24) Paquette, L. A. Synthesis 1990, in press and references cited therein.

Scheme I


Table I. Crystallographic Details for 16,30 , and 33

|  | 16 | 30 | 33 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| formula wt, amu | 246.39 | 284.83 | 276.42 |
| space group | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | $P 2_{1}$ | P6, \# 170) |
| $a, \AA$ | 10.891 (2) | 9.639 (1) | 10.202 (5) |
| $b, \AA$ | 18.457 (2) | 8.730 (1) |  |
| c, $\AA$ | 7.311 (2) | 10.037 (2) | 27.801 (9) |
| $\beta$, deg |  | 107.86 (1) |  |
| vol, $\mathbf{A}^{3}$ | 1470 | 804 | 2505.9 |
| $\boldsymbol{Z}$ | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| density (calc), $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.11 | 1.18 | 1.10 |
| crystal size, mm | $0.88 \times 0.38 \times 0.46$ | $0.23 \times 0.31 \times 0.50$ | $0.10 \times 0.28 \times 0.55$ |
| radiation | Mo K $\alpha$ with graphite monochromator | Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ with graphite monochromator | Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ with graphite monochromator |
| linear abs coeff, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 0.62 | 2.32 |  |
| temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 23 | 22 | 20 |
| $2 \theta$ limits | $4^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 55^{\circ}$ | $4^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta \leq 55^{\circ}$ | $\leq 2 \theta \leq$ |
| scan speed | $4^{\circ} / \min$ in $\omega$ with a maximum of 4 scans/ref | $8^{\circ} / \mathrm{min}$ in $\omega$ with a maximum of 4 scans/ref |  |
| background time/scan time | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| scan range | $(1.00+0.35(\tan \theta))^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ | $(1.55+0.35(\tan \theta))^{\circ}$ in $\omega$ |  |
| data collected | +h, $+k,+l$ | +h, $+k, \pm l$ | $-h,+k,+l$ |
| unique data unique data, with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>0.5 \sigma\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ | 1973 1185 | 1972 $1223^{\text {c }}$ | $2776{ }^{1084}$ |
| Final number of variables | 1163 | 172 | 264 |
| $R(F)^{\text {a }}$ | 0.105 | 0.052 | 0.049 |
| $R_{w}(F)^{\text {b }}$ | 0.059 | 0.048 | 0.059 |
| error in observation of unit weight, e | 1.28 | 1.55 | 1.88 |
| $R$ (on $F$ for $F_{0}^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)$ ) | 0.045 | 0.043 | 0.049 |

${ }^{a} R(F)=\Sigma \| F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| \Sigma\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right| \cdot{ }^{b} R_{w}(F)=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ with $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}\right)$. ${ }^{c}$ For $\operatorname{l\sigma }\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$. ${ }^{d}$ For $S \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)$.
would thereby be clarified. Subsequently, the tandem process could be implemented with full knowledge of preferred transition state topographies.

Attention was therefore focused on transmetalating (-).3-(trimethylstannyl)-2-norcarene ( 9 ) of established absolute configuration ${ }^{23}$ with butyllithium ${ }^{25}$ followed by condensation with ( $\pm$ )-2-chlorocyclohexanone. ${ }^{26}$ The diastereomeric chlorohydrins 10 and 11, obtained in a 1:1 ratio (Scheme I) proved not to be readily separated by chromatography. On the strength of subsequent findings, it was concluded that the stereochemical course of this condensation is controlled entirely by the neighboring chlorine such that nucleophilic attack occurs exclusively anti to this halogen atom.

Exposure of the $\mathbf{1 0} / \mathbf{1 1}$ mixture to excess vinylmagnesium bromide served to promote pinacol rearrangement in the form of 1,2 -migration of the 2 -norcarenyl unit. The cyclohexanone carbonyl group so liberated is captured by a second equivalent of the organometallic. The divinyl carbinols 12 and 13 were

[^1]produced in equivalent amounts and easily obtained in isomerically pure condition. By all indications, the level of steric control operating during the second step is again very good. No other diastereomers were detected.

Although the 'H NMR spectra of carbinols 12 and 13 are distinctively different, these data were not useful in making a clear-cut distinction between the pair. Consequently, both dienes were independently subjected to anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement. In the case of 12 , the expectation was that the chairlike arrangement 14 would be adopted ${ }^{27}$ so as to dispose both vinyl substituents equatorially (Scheme II). Electrocyclization along this pathway delivers the trans,trans-cyclodecadienolate 15 , protonation of which would generate tricyclic ketone 16. At the experimental level, brief heating of 12 with potassium hexamethyldisilazide and 18 -crown- 6 in tetrahydrofuran solution resulted in smooth isomerization to a single enone. The high crystallinity of this product permitted structural confirmation to be accomplished by X-ray analysis (Table I). The ORTEP diagram in Figure 1 reveals the trans nature of the olefinic linkage and confirms that bonding to the norcarene occurred from the less
(27) Clive, D. L. J.; Russell, C. G.; Suri, S. C. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 1632.

Scheme II


Scheme III

sterically congested direction anti to the gem-dimethyl-substituted cyclopropane ring.

The progenitor to 16 must therefore be 12. Furthermore, the rearrangement trajectory via 14 and 15 produces the medium-ring ketone in a very specific conformation that the molecule seemingly maintains (see Figure 1). This spatial arrangement orients the carbonyl oxygen endo in rather close proximity to the double bond. This particular orientation makes available at least one kinetically accelerated process. Thus, exposure of $\mathbf{1 6}$ to silica gel results in rapid isomerization to 17. The relative configurational assignment given to this tetracyclic alcohol is founded on the crystallographic data for 16 and supported by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data. That the absolute configurations of $\mathbf{1 6}$ and 17 are as shown is demanded by that present in 9.

The anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement of 13 under similar conditions resulted in isomerization to 20 , presumably via boatlike 18 and 19 (Scheme III). The carbonyl stretching frequency of $20\left(1700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ differs only marginally from that of $\mathbf{1 6}$ (1705


Figure 2. ORTEP drawing for 30. The non-hydrogen atoms are represented by $50 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. The hydrogen atoms are drawn with an arbitrary radius.
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ). The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ shifts of the carbonyl carbons in the two stereoisomers are more divergent, that for $20(211.9 \mathrm{ppm})$ appearing downfield of that in 16 ( 206.8 ppm ). The olefinic proton contained in 20 appears (in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ solution) as a doublet of doublets centered at $\delta 4.85$, signaling that its spatial orientation offers less opportunity for long-range interaction than that in 16 (ddd at $\delta 4.91$ ).

Beyond this, the configuration of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in $\mathbf{2 0}$ could be ascertained as follows. Protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ in ketone 22 make their appearance below $\delta 0.9$ and actually overlap with the methyl absorptions. Introduction of an $\alpha$-methyl substituent as in $\mathbf{2 3}$ so perturbs the vicinal $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ that this proton experiences substantially greater shielding ( $\delta 0.52$ ) than $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(\delta 0.90 ; 2\right.$ - COSY experiments). ${ }^{23}$


22


23

The spectrum of 16 , whose structure has been corroborated crystallographically, displays an entirely similar pattern with $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ at $\delta 0.38$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ at $\delta 0.75$. This effect persists in $20\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ at $\delta 0.47$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ at $\delta 0.67$ ), although the $\Delta \delta$ gap is now somewhat less. Nevertheless, the strong spin interaction between protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is also best accounted for in terms of a trans relationship.

While the modulation of spectral parameters seen for 20 is likely directly relatable to a difference in ground-state geometry, 20 remains entirely capable of facile transannular closure to 21 when treated with silica gel. Once again, the stereochemistry of the transannular cyclization product follows logically from the conformation of its enone precursor, where the carbonyl oxygen must be oriented syn to the vinylic proton in order to achieve proximity.
The preceding results hold fascination for several reasons. Firstly, the assembly of molecules such as $16,17,20$, and 21 stems

Scheme IV

from three building blocks. Since structural variations can presumably be easily implemented, the prospectus for broad scope is clearly present. In addition, the responses of 12 and 13 to [3,3] sigmatropy signal that the norcarene double bond prefers to engage in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation from its sterically less encumbered $\alpha$-face. This stereochemical feature is adopted by 13 at the expense of a boat arrangement (18); the alternative chair option 24 would entail electrocyclization syn to the cyclopropane. What is the

magnitude of the energy gap between these options? Should it be small, then minor substituent alterations could open up additional reaction channels. In the sequel, this possibility is affirmed.

Stereochemical Requirements of the Tandem Process. The stage was considered set for detailed scrutiny of the sequential anionic oxy-Cope- $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement transformation. ( $1 S, 6 R$ )-4 $\alpha$-Meth-oxy-3-norcaranone (27), considered to be a logical precursor to the appropriate nucleophilic 2-carene derivative, was prepared by sequential 0 -methylation and ozonolysis of the conveniently accessible and optically pure allylic alcohol 26 (Scheme IV). ${ }^{23}$ Treatment of 27 with lithium diisopropylamide and $N$-phenyltriflimide according to McMurry ${ }^{28}$ resulted in totally regiocontrolled conversion into enol triflate 28a. The latter was subjected to the action of hexamethylditin in the presence of lithium chloride and tetrakis (triphenylphosphine) palladium(0), ${ }^{29}$ thereby providing the vinyl stannane $\mathbf{2 8 b}$.

Predictably, the lithiation of $(-)-\mathbf{2 8 b}$ and subsequent 1,2 addition to ( $\pm$ )-2-chlorocyclohexanone was fully stereocontrolled, leading to a $1: 1$ mixture of 29 and 30 . Spectral information gleaned from the model studies (vide supra) was consistent with,

[^2]but did not prove, the configurational assignments given to the diastereomeric chlorohydrins. To obtain that proof, $\mathbf{3 0}$ was subjected to X-ray crystallographic analysis (Table I). By this means, the absolute stereochemistry of both 29 and 30 (Figure 2) was made evident.

It was interesting to find that individual reaction of 29 and 30 with more than 2 equiv of vinylmagnesium bromide led in each instance to two divinyl carbinols. Notably, while the trans-31 to cis-32 ratio was approximately $2: 1$, cis- 34 was twice as prevalent as trans-33. This behavior contrasts in a striking way with that exhibited by 10 and 11 , each of which delivers exclusively, a trans divinyl carbinol (Scheme I). However, the methoxyl substituent in the ketones formed by pinacolization of 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$ is seen to be propitiously placed for coordination to the incoming Grignard reagent, thereby possibly enabling intramolecular delivery of vinyl anion from the cis direction to compete effectively with trans attack from the sterically less encumbered carbonyl face.

All four stereoisomers could be obtained in a pure state by silica gel chromatography and characterized spectroscopically. Examination of the high-field ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 1}$ clearly indicated


Figure 3. ORTEP drawing for 33.

Scheme V

it to be structurally related to 12. The status of trans isomer 33 was less clear and rigorous demonstration of its absolute configuration was achieved by a single-crystal X-ray determination (Table I, Figure 3). Compounds 32 and 34 are therefore the respective cis isomers. For reasons that will be made evident, only 31 and 33 possess the proper ensemble of desirable structural features. Accordingly, the sigmatropic response of their conjugate bases was examined first.
To the extent that $\mathbf{1 2}$ constitutes suitable precedent, $\mathbf{3 1}$ can be expected to undergo anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement via the chair arrangement $\mathbf{3 5}$ (Scheme V ). Following electronic reorganization to generate 36, an intermediate enolate is produced having olefinic centers separated by as little as $2.8 \AA$. ${ }^{30}$ The heightened proximity in this trans,trans-cyclodecadiene is clearly conducive to frontside transannular $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ displacement of methoxide ion since heating 31 with potassium hydride and 18 -crown-6 in tetrahydrofuran resulted in the formation of 37 , a hydroazulenone capable of further equilibration with 38. The actual distribution of $\mathbf{3 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ was a function of the work-up protocol. Quenching of the enolate with aqueous ammonium chloride caused cis isomer 37 to be twice as prevalent as 38. When ethanol was introduced at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead, a $1: 1$ isomer mixture was produced. Equilibration of either tetracyclic ketone in dilute methanolic sodium methoxide demonstrated 38 to be thermodynamically favored over 37 (ratio 2:1).
These isomeric ketones were examined by 2-D COSY NMR methods. Clearly revealed by this technique was the ring junction stereochemistry $\alpha$ to the carbonyl group and the $\beta$-orientation of the tertiary cyclopropylcarbinyl proton. Particularly definitive in both cases is the strong coupling operative between the protons labeled as $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in the illustrated formulas, and the lack of spin interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$ due to their strictly enforced dihedral angle relationship of approximately $90-95^{\circ}$. The two isomers are most notably distinguished by the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$. In cis isomer 37, this proton appears as a doublet of triplets


at $\delta 2.80(J=7.0,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, a position downfield of that seen in trans isomer 38 ( $\delta 2.54, \mathrm{dt}, J=3.9, \mathrm{I} 0.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). This prominent change is in full agreement with criteria earlier defined by others ${ }^{31,32}$ for hydroazulenones.

Two different sets of five stereogenic centers are defined by analogous heating of the potassium alkoxide of 33. In this instance, ketones 41 and 42 materialize, although not exclusively (see be-
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Scheme VII

low). This result requires that $\mathbf{3 3}$ adopt conformation 39 (Scheme VI), a chair arrangement that can eventuate only in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation syn to the cyclopropane subunit. One should recall that this process is completely shunned by 13 (Scheme III). Adoption of the stereoalignment found in 39, a source of important mechanistic insight, is perhaps realized because of a steric deterrent to bonding from the $\alpha$-face brought on by the presence of an allylic methoxyl group. Electrocyclization within 39 gives rise to a trans,trans-cyclodecadienolate structurally related to 36. Access to 39 sets the stage for smooth transannular backside allylic ether displacement. Consequently, both stereochemical modes of $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ displacement are capable of operation in these systems. ${ }^{33}$
Stereochemical assignments to 41 and 42 are likewise founded on 2-D COSY measurements. Especially diagnostic of the $\alpha$ disposition of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in these ketones is (a) the absence of their long-range coupling to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$, a phenomenon that dominates the spectra of 37 and 38 and (b) the existence of intense spin interaction between $H_{c}$ and $H_{d}$ as well as $H_{h}$ (see expanded formulas). A/B ring junction stereochemistry could not be


distinguished by comparison of the chemical shifts of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as before because this key absorption was seriously overlapped by other signals in 42. For 41, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ could be ascertained to interact strongly with both $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}$, the pattern proving consistent with its formulation as the cis isomer. A thermodynamic preference for the trans ring fusion was confirmed by base-catalyzed equilibration of a $1: 1$ mixture of 41 and 42 . Such treatment led to the exclusive recovery of 42. This isomer proved inert to further chemical change under basic conditions.

It is significant that 33 does not isomerize completely via 39 and 40, but also utilizes that reaction channel involving 43 and
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44 to an approximately equal extent (Scheme VII). Its structural relationship to 12 is thereby made apparent. Since 44 is a cis.-trans-decadienolate, a distance of approximately $3.2 \AA$ separates the reactive trigonal centers. As a consequence, transannular closure with expulsion of methoxide ion does not operate. Protonation ultimately delivers tricyclic enone 45.

Attempts to achieve anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement in divinyl carbinol 32 were not successful. This is because the topography intrinsic to this isomer is not sterically conducive to [3,3] sigmatropy. As is evident in conformation 46, the two $\pi$-termini are not at all in adequate proximity for bonding. The chair alternative 47 poses a different dilemma. Here the combination of diminished accessibility to the syn face of the norcarene double bond and steric screening of the solvated alkoxide substituent is apparently not easily surmounted.


In contrast, initial ring expansion within 34 can proceed forward via a chairlike transition-state geometry, viz. 48, like that found in 43 (Scheme VIII). Following isomerization and arrival at 49, the cis, trans arrangement offers no option for transannular bonding because of those distance factors discussed earlier. ${ }^{30}$ Consequently, protonation again delivered 45.

## Discussion

Stereochemical Bias in the $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ Process, Detailed understanding of how bonds are made or broken is of fundamental importance to mechanistic and synthetic chemists. As a consequence, the 1956 publication by Stork and White, ${ }^{34}$ which concluded that a syn relationship exists between the entering and departing groups in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{2}$ reactions, was accepted for more than two decades because of its practical appeal and synthetic potential. Bordwell later pointed out that satisfactory kinetic proof for concerted $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ behavior is exceedingly difficult to acquire. ${ }^{35}$ Nonetheless, the extent of bond making and bond breaking operative at the transition state can in no way invalidate any inherent bias for a stereochemical preference. ${ }^{36,37}$ Despite numerous early qualitative theoretical treatments in support of a syn preference, ${ }^{38}$ these conclusions have not withstood the test of time. It is now apparent that the entire spectrum spanned by the syn and anti extremes can be expected, with the result in any specific instance being

[^5]dependent on the nature of the entering and leaving groups, solvent, and counter ion. ${ }^{33,39,40}$ The intramolecular $S_{N}$ variant 50 offers useful insight. Despite the absence of any obvious steric bias to either stereochemical reaction channel, cyclization proceeds exclusively anti with an $E: Z$ ratio of 93:7.41


50
Although allylic halides and esters are often subject to bimolecular nucleophilic substitution with allylic rearrangement, the range of substrates has only recently been extended to include allylic ethers. Reasonable reactivity has been noted toward Grignard reagents admixed with $10-20 \% \mathrm{CuX}^{42}$ or with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}{ }^{43}$ In order to delineate stereochemistry, 51 was treated with 10 mol percent of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgI}-\mathrm{CuI} ; \gamma$ attack was favored ( $80 \%$ ) and 52 was formed with an anti preference greater than $95 \% .{ }^{44}$


The earliest known example of a transition-metal-free $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ ether displacement is an intramolecular process discovered by Farnum and Monego. ${ }^{45}$ Following dimetalation, $\mathbf{5 3}$ is initially converted to 54 by proton abstraction from solvent, thereby setting the stage for ring closure and arrival at 55 . More recent developments in this area ${ }^{18}$ are cited in the introduction.


53

(39) (a) Dobbie, A. A.; Overton, K. H. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1977, 722. (b) Oritani, T.; Overton, K. H. Ibid. 1978, 454. (c) Magid, R. M.; Fruchey, O. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8368; 1979, 101, 2107.
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(45) Farnum, D. G.; Monego, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1361.

In view of the essentially nonexistent reactivity of alkoxy groups toward conventional $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement, it is legitimate to inquire why they play a serviceable role in $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ processes. Proper stereoalignment of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ bond with the flanking $\pi$-orbital is, of course, essential to onset of any bond formation. Comparison of the inertness of $\mathbf{5 6}$ to the high reactivity of $\mathbf{5}$ illustrates that failure to meet this minimum geometry requirement inhibits $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ behavior. ${ }^{18}$



Once suitable spatial orientation of the alkoxy group is realized, onset of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ process is very likely facilitated by the length of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond being broken. As the extensive crystallographic compilations by Kirby have shown, axial ether oxygens in cyclic acetals are effectively more electronegative than their equatorial counterparts. ${ }^{46}$ The onset of $n \rightarrow \sigma^{*}$ C-OR interaction is reflected in strikingly longer bond lengths in the axial isomers. Since phenomenologically related orbital overlap can operate within the allylic ethers that adopt antiplanar conformations, comparable lengthening of the critical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond can be anticipated. Heterolytic cleavage of this bond is thereby facilitated. Moreover, we have now shown that syn and anti pathways are both capable of efficient operation.

Stereochemical Requirements for Ring Expansion. 1,3-Divinyl derivatives of cyclopentanes and larger rings are capable of Cope rearrangement, customarily adopting a chair transition state during the sigmatropic event. Equilibria usually favor the monocyclic ring expanded isomer. ${ }^{19}$ In the oxy-Cope variant of these processes, tautomerism within the enol product can be relied upon to shift an equilibrium that may otherwise be unfavorable. When performed anionically, the activation energy for the same reaction is often dramatically lowered, such that structural isomerization can be realized at very modest temperatures. ${ }^{47}$ The advantages offered by sharply decreased demands for thermal input are, of course, highly utilitarian in the context of natural products synthesis. ${ }^{24}$ Additionally, mechanistic nuances gain greater visibility. The alkoxide pair 57 and $\mathbf{6 0}$ studied by Clive and co-workers ${ }^{27}$ nicely illustrate this point (Scheme IX). Whereas 57 isomerizes exclusively via $\mathbf{5 8}$ to provide $\mathbf{> 9 9 \%}$ of $\mathbf{5 9}$, isomer $\mathbf{6 0}$ gives only $3 \%$ of 62 (via 61). Instead, conformational inversion to provide 63 precedes the preferred isomerization route via 64 . The finding that 60 also produces largely 59 signals that both compounds prefer utilization of those chair transition states that carry axial alkoxide substituents (as in 57 and 63). Is 60 inherently disadvantagd because of its equatorial electron-rich substituent, or is the $Z$ double bond geometry in 62 (and 61 ) responsible?

While a quantitative assessment of these questions in structurally unbiased systems is ongoing ${ }^{48}$ we viewed as entirely plausible the possibility that the stereochemical outcome of such
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reactions may be subject to a certain amount of alternative control. For example, the trans alcohols 13, 31, and 33 are so constructed that one of their vinyl units provides for concurrent analysis of $\pi$-facial selectivity. Relevantly, the norcarene double bond is not equally accessible from its two surfaces. The energetic advantage of engaging this $\pi$-linkage in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation from the less sterically congested direction is certain to impact on the global $[3,3]$ sigmatropic transition-state costs.
Our results disclose that 13 and 31 both isomerize via pathways wherein the alkoxide substituent is oriented axially and the norcarene double bond is captured anti to the cyclopropane ring (see 18 and 35). There the similarity stops, since 18 leads to a cis,trans-dienolate, 19, and 35 is precursor to the trans,transcyclodecadiene 36. Despite the geometry differences in these intermediates, neither pathway appears to be kinetically disadvantaged.

More striking yet is the influence of the added methoxyl substituent in 33 . Since 13 and $\mathbf{3 3}$ are stereochemically related, one might expect a comparable sigmatropic response following conversion to their potassium salts. Indeed, $\mathbf{3 3}$ does find it possible to isomerize to 45 via 43 and 44 , but this pathway is not dominant. This system displays an equivalent preference for rearrangement via 39 and 40 from which the $S_{N}$ 'process occurs. As before, 39 also carries an axial alkoxide. However, adoption of this geometry requires syn bonding to the norcarene double bond!

The fate of 34 is reasonably explained in terms of the involvement of 48 . This conformational arrangement is similar to that in $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{4 3}$ from the norcarene perspective. However, the alkoxide has an equatorial disposition in 48. Nonetheless, $[3,3]$ sigmatropy does materialize. In contrast, chair conformer 47, which likewise carries an equatorial $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ substituent, is totally unreactive. In this example, the need to approach syn to the cyclopropane ring is a serious deterrent.

The distinctions made above, in particular the ability of substituents to exert substantial control on the eventual course of events, reflect the considerable amount of additional incisive work that is required before the precise role of pendant groups can be defined, In this connection, we have not commented on the possibility that the $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime}$ step eventuating in loss of the methoxyl group may proceed via allyl radical pair intermediates. ${ }^{32,35}$ This is purposeful, since we have no evidence whatsoever that heterolytic character develops at the temperature of refluxing tetrahydrofuran. What is clear is that the formal $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ displacement of methoxide by enolate anions proceeds with good efficiency in a manner that can establish at least five stereogenic centers concurrently. For this reasons, the process must be regarded as an exceptionally powerful tool for the rapid elaboration of polycyclic molecules.

The Ingenol Connection. In suitable cases, the anionic oxy-Cope rearrangement of trans-1,2-divinylcyclohexanols is seen to result

Scheme X

in direct conversion to perhydroazulenones. As matters stand currently, products such as $37,38,41$, and 42 contain a sevenmembered A ring and a five-membered B ring. One adaptation of this technology to the synthesis of ingenol (4) requires that the A/B ring sizes be reversed. In order to assess whether this fact can be accomplished directly, studies are in progress to determine if enolate exchange ${ }^{18}$ (i.e., $65 \rightarrow 66$ in Scheme X ) can be driven by a suitable X group such that the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}$ reaction will occur uniquely via the transposed intermediate. The transannular dimensions in 66 appear commensurate with the chemistry planned. Should bonding in this manner prove feasible and deliver 67, subsequent stereocontrolled Wagner-Meerwein shift of one C-C bond would guarantee rapid access to a tetracyclic molecule (68) possessing the appropriate inside, outside bridge stereochemistry.

## Experimental Section

Melting points are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Model 1320 spectrophotometer. Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 polarimeter. 'H NMR were recorded at 300 MHz and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra at either 75 or 20 MHz as indicated. 2-D COSY spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 MHz spectrometer by Dr. Charles Cottrell of The Ohio State University Chemical Instrument Center. Mass spectra were recorded on a Kratos MS-30 instrument by Mr. Dick Weisenberger at The Ohio State University Chemical Instrument Center. Elemental analyses were performed at the Scandinavian Microanalytical Laboratory, Herlev, Denmark. The preparative GC work made use of a Varian Series 2700 unit. All MPLC separations were conducted on Merck Lobar columns (Lichroprep Si-60) with the help of a Fluid Metering INC pump and a Waters Associates Model R403 differential refractometer detector. All reactions were performed under an inert atmosphere (dry nitrogen or argon). The organic extracts of crude products were dried over anhydrous magnesium or sodium sulfate. Solvents were reagent grade and dried prior to use.

Condensation of 9 with ( $\pm$ )-2-Chlorocyclohexanone, A solution of $n$-butylithium in hexanes ( 1.75 mL of $1.4 \mathrm{M}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a cold ( $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), magnetically stirred solution of $9(350 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran and stirred at that temperature for 50 min . A solution of 2 -chlorocyclohexanone ( $341 \mathrm{mg}, 2.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran ( 5 mL ) was introduced, and after 10 min the cooling bath was removed and stirring was continued for 1 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into a cold $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (elution with $1 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) afforded 106 mg ( $34 \%$ ) of a $1: 1$ mixture of 10 and 11 as a colorless oil: IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3560,3480,2990,2930,2860,1640$, 1445, 1370, 1340, 1325, 1285, 1230, 1200, $1170,1140,1125,1105,1065$, 1030, $990,980,970,945,885,870,845,810,740$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.12$ (dd, $J=3.1,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.01 (dd, $J=2.2,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5$ H), 3.87-3.77 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}$ ), and multiplets to higher field; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd 254.1437, obsd 254.1430 .

Vinyimagnesium Bromide Induced Pinacol Rearrangement of $\mathbf{1 0 / 1 1}$. A solution of vinylmagnesium bromide in tetrahydrofuran ( 0.75 mL of $0.92 \mathrm{M}, 0.688 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the $1: 1$ chlorohydrin mixture ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry benzene ( 8 mL ) at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 10 min . The reaction mixture was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a preheated oil bath for 10 min . cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution, and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases
were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (elution with $1 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) provided 21 mg of 12 and 25 mg of 13 (total yield of $68 \%$ ).
For 12: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3545,3085,2995,2975,2925$, 2855, 1640, 1450, 1405, 1375, 1355, 1285, 1165, 1000, 980, 925: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.75$ (dd, $J=1.2,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.69 (br $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26$ (dd, $J=1.2,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88$ (dd, $J=1.2,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-0.70$ (series of $\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 147.36, $140.94,121.79,110.66,73.13$, $53.71,38.56,29.30,28.62,27.49,26.67,24.11,23.57,22.00,21.62,18.68$, 15.79; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 246.1984, obsd 246.1963; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-21^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ 1.38, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.64$. Found: C, 82.84; H, 10.63.

For 13: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3545, 3085, 2985, 2925, 2865, $1640,1450,1415,1375,1355,1285,1250,1175,1140,1075,1055,995$, 975, 920, 820; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.75$ (dd, $J=10.6,17.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.90 (dd, $J=1.6,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) $2.20-0.75$ (series of $\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.04 (s, 3 H ), $0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 147.18, 140.46, 122.02, $110.37 .73 .46,53.89,38.75,28.88,28.82,27.39,26.68,24.35$, 23.32, 22.83, 21.64, 18.69, 15.91; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 246.1984, obsd 246.2003; $\left[\alpha^{20}{ }_{D}+59^{\circ}\left(c 0.88, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)\right.$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}$, 82.86; H, 10.64. Found: C, 82.92; H, 10.63 .

Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 12. A solution of potassium hexamethyldisilazide in toluene ( 0.63 mL of $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $26.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 18 -crown-6 ( 83.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 8 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. After being heated at reflux under nitrogen for 1 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with ethanol ( 2 mL ), stirred for 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and poured into water. The product was extracted into ether and the combined ethereal phases were washed with water and brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on Florisil (elution with $2 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) gave 16 ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) as a colorless solid, mp 118-119 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from petroleum ether); $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) \mathbf{2 9 2 5}$, 2885, 2855, 1705, 1445, 1425, 1375, 1360, 1260, 1215, 1180, 1130, 1100 , $1020,960,905,860 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 4.91$ (ddd, $J=0.8$, $1.4,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{dq}, J=1.9,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $1.70-0.90$ (series of $\mathrm{m}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $4.0,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 206.79, $144.27123 .80,44.63,43.89,42.93,34.41,29.38 .29 .22$, 28.64, 28.07, 23.32, 23.15, 21.33, 20.27, 18.40, 15.28; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$ calcd 246.1984, obsd 246.2002; $\left.[\alpha]^{20}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}+54^{\circ}(c) 1.13, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$.

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of 16. Crystals of 16 are clear, colorless rectangular plates. Examination of the diffraction pattern on a Rigaku AFC5 diffractometer indicated an orthorhombic crystal system with systematic absences: $h 00, h=2 n+1,0 k 0, k=2 n+1$, and 001 , $l=2 n+1$, which uniquely determine the space group as $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The unit cell is based on a symmetry restricted least-squares fit of the diffractometer setting angles for 25 reflections in the $2 \theta$ range 18 to $24^{\circ}$ with Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation.

Intensities were measured by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan method. The intensities of six standard reflections, which were measured after every 150 reflections, decreased slowly during the course of data collection. The overall average change in intensity was $3 \%$ and the data set was corrected for this small amount of crystal decomposition. All calculations were done with the TEXSAN package of crystallographic programs. ${ }^{50}$
(50) TEXSAN, TEXRAY Structure Analysis Package, version 2.1, Molecular Structure Corporation, College Station, TX, 1987.

The structure was solved with the direct methods program MITHRIL, ${ }^{51}$ with 13 out of the 18 non-hydrogen atoms located on the electron density map. The remainder of the atoms were located by standard Fourier methods. The correct enantiomer was chosen on the basis of the known stereochemistry of the two asymmetric carbon atoms of the fused cyclopropane ring. After the model reached the anisotropic refinement stage, hydrogen atoms were included as fixed contributions in their calculated positions with the assumptions $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}(\text { iso })}$ $+1.0 \AA^{2}$. The final refinement cycle used 1185 intensities with $F_{0}^{2}>$ $0.5 \sigma\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ and 163 variables and resulted in agreement indices of $R=$ 0.105 an $R_{\mathrm{w}}=0.059$.

The final difference electron density map contains maximum and minimum peak heights of 0.30 and $-0.34 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. Scattering factors were obtained from the usual sources. ${ }^{52}$ A structure factor calculation for the 673 reflections with $F_{0}{ }^{2}>3 \sigma\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)$ yields an $R$ value of 0.045 .

Transannular Cyclization of 16 . Chromatography of 16 on silica gel resulted in quantitative conversion to 17 . The same result was achieved by stirring 16 with silica gel in ether for $1.5 \mathrm{~h}: \mid \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3565$, 2985, 2930, 2855, 2825, 1445, 1435, 1375, 1325, 1295, 1250, 1205, 1175, $1150,1140,1090,970,955,905 ;{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.08(\mathrm{t}$, $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 139.37, $118.73,71.13,49.75,40.64,38.96$, $34.12,32.50,28.70,26.52,26.32,24.25,21.77,21.47,17.71,16.91,13.85$; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 246.1983, obsd 246.1964; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-43^{\circ}$ (c 1.67, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ).

Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 13. A solution of potassium hexamethyldisilazide in toluene ( 0.68 mL of $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 0.342 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 13 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 18 -crown-6 ( 90.2 mg , 0.342 mmol ) in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran. Following heating of the reaction mixture at reflux for 1.5 h , ketone 20 was isolated as described above ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 71 \%$ ): colorless oil; IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 2985$, 2935, 2860. 1700, 1450, 1450, 1435, 1410, 1375, 1370, 1215, 1205, 1190, $1130,1120,1010,995,975,935,870,845 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right)$ $\delta 4.85$ (dd, $J=2.9,12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.62-2.50 (m, 1 H), 2.40-0.80 (series of $\mathrm{m}, 15 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.93 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.92 $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.6,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 211.90, 141.54, 125.47, 45.36, 34.50, 30.89, 29.98 (2C), 29.36, 28.99, 28.40, 24.72, 23.62, 22.89, 19.61, 18.10, 14.77; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 246.1983, obsd 246.1972; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+95^{\circ}$ (c 1.63, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.64$. Found: C, 82.70; H, 10.60 .

Transannular Cyclization of 20, Tricyclic enone 20 was transformed quantitatively into 21 by elution (ether) through silica gel; colorless oil; IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3550,2995,2975,2925,2855,2845,2825,1465$, $1450,1435,1375,1340,1320,1220,1200,1175,1130,1095,945,915$, 865,$830 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I}$ H), 2.46-2.35 (m, I H), 2.16-1.17 (series of m, 16 H ), $1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 139.66, $121.40,71.84,55.65,40.12,32.18$, $31.87,29.88,28.70,27.73,26.53,25.31,24.23,21.18,17.83,16.80,13.74$; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 246.1983, obsd 246.1991; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+0.87^{\circ}$ (c 5.09 , $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ).
$(-)-(1 S, 6 R)-4 \alpha-$ Methoxy- $\beta$-carene, To a suspension of sodium hydride ( 640 mg of $97 \%$ purity, 25.8 mmol ) in 10 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran was added dropwise a solution of alcohol 26, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}-119.8^{\circ}$ (c 2.47, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right),(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 17.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of the same solvent. After 1 h of stirring, methyl iodide ( $2.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was introduced and the reaction mixture was stirred overnight, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 20 mL ), and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (elution with $3 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) provided 2.57 g ( $90 \%$ ) of the methyl ether as a colorless oil: bp $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( 20 Torr); IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3070, 2990, 2930, 2900, $2870,2820,1645,1450,1430,1375,1325,1285,1200,1155,1100,1070$, $1030,990,950,850$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.22-2.12 (m, 2 H$), 1.39-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85-0.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 146.72, 111.23, $79.85,55.23,28.64,27.41,25.09,20.98,18.23,15.93,14.21$; MS m/z $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 166.1357 , obsd $166.1364 ;[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-66^{\circ}\left(c \mid .55, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal.

[^7]Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 79.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.92$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.98$. $(+)-(1 S, 6 R)-4 \alpha-M e t h o x y-3$-norcaranone (27). A stream of ozone in oxygen was bubbled through a solution of the above compound ( 3.11 g , 18.7 mmol ) in a mixture of methanol ( 20 mL ) and dichloromethane ( 4 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about 40 min until a blue color persisted. Dimethyl sulfide ( 8 mL ) was introduced at this temperature and stirring was maintained for 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at room temperature for 2 h . Following the removal of solvent, the residue was taken up in ether ( 250 mL ), washed with brine, and dried. Evaporation and silica gel chromatography (elution with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) afforded $2.01 \mathrm{~g}(66 \%)$ of 27 as a colorless oil: bp $155-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(20 \mathrm{Torr})$; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3300,2985,2960,2940,2880,2820,1714,1450,1405,1374,1320$, $1240,1190,1150,1095,1058,1020,1000,975,910,832,760 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.25(\mathrm{t}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.75 (dd, $J=8.9,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.82-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.83-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 214.48, 81.01, $57.17,34.25,27.98,27.91,24.09,19.35,15.74,14.63 ;$ MS $m / z$ (calcd 168.1151, obsd $168.1180 ;[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+5.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.53, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 71.38; $\mathrm{H}, 9.59$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 71.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.55$.
(+)-(1S,6R)-4 $\alpha$-Methoxy-3-[[(trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl]oxy]-2-norcarene (28a). A solution of $27(1.008 \mathrm{~g}, 6.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) was added to a cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of lithium diisopropylamide (from 4.41 mL of 1.5 M n -butyllithium and 0.924 mL of diisopropylamine in 20 mL of tetrahydrofuran). After 1 h of stirring, $N$-phenyltriflimide ( $2.253 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of the same solvent was introduced. The reaction mixture was then stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Following solvent evaporation, the residue was filtered through a short silica gel column (elution with 1\% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) and purified further by MPLC (silica gel, same solvent system). There was isolated 950 mg ( $53 \%$ ) of 28a as a colorless liquid: IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $2980,2920,2820,1665,1450,1415$, 1240, 1205, 1140, 1090, 1050, 1000, 975, 890, 875, 830, 810 ; ${ }^{1}$ H NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.35-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 149.52, $122.05,118.48,72.84,56.49$, $27.36,26.46,23.34,17.88,14.51$; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 300.0643 , obsd 300.0677; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}+112^{\circ}(c 2.82$, hexane).
(-)-(1S,6R)-4 $\alpha$-Methoxy-3-(trimethylstannyl)-2-norcarene (28b). A mixture of 28 a ( $237 \mathrm{mg}, 0.790 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), hexamethylditin ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.702$ mmol ), lithium chloride ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium( 0 ) ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of dry tetrahydrofuran was placed in a $50-\mathrm{mL}$ flask, deoxygenated by passing argon through for 30 min , and heated with stirring at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The insoluble solids were separated by filtration and rinsed with ether. The combined organic filtrates were washed with water $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on Florisil (elution with petroleum ether) furnished 200 mg ( $80 \%$ ) of 28 b as a colorless liquid: $\mathrm{bp} 85-87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(0.3\right.$ Torr); IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2970, 2930, 2900, 2800, 1600, 1460, 1440, 1370, 1350, $1185,1120,1105$, $1090,1070,1020,970,870,835,765$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 6.13 (dd, $J=2.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ (s, 3 H ), 2.45-2.25 (m, 1 H), 1.55-1.40 (m, I H), 1.25-1.10(m, I H), $1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm 143.01, 135.70, 77.27, 56.59, 29.13, 25.19, 23.96 (2 C), 21.76, 16.57, -6.42; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{SnMe}_{3}\right)$ calcd 151.1123, obsd 151.1168; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}} 9^{\circ}$ ( $c$ 1.70, hexane). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{OSn}: \mathrm{C}$, 49.54; H, 7.62. Found: C, 49.69; H, 7.71.

Condensation of 28 b with ( $\pm$ )-2-Chlorocyclohexanone, A solution of 28b ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.635 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 10 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was added slowly to a cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, magnetically stirred solution of $n$-butyllithium ( 0.54 mL of $1.4 \mathrm{M}, 0.762 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the same solvent ( 10 mL ) and stirred for 10 min . A cold $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 2 -chlorocyclohexanone ( $101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.762 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 5 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added next, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 30 min , and quenched at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with saturated ammonium chloride solution. The products were extracted into ether, and the combined organic phases were washed with brine ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried, and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue on silica gel (elution with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) gave 40 mg of less polar 29 and 41 mg of more polar 30 (combined yield of $45 \%$ ).

For 29: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3560,3460,3000,2940,2870$, $2820,1650,1460,1450,1380,1355,1290,1275,1200,1135,1085,1020$, $985,855,820,750 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.97 (dd, $J=4.5,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (dd, $J=2.9,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.13 (s, 3 H ), 2.35 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90$ (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 143.14, 125.19, 76.09, 70.89, $66.23,54.70,38.22,32.83,27.85,26.45,23.96$ (2 C), 23.22, 20.97, 18.40,
15.32; MS m/z ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) calcd 284.1543, obsd 284.1482; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+30^{\circ}(\mathrm{c}$ $1.49, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

For 30: colorless solid; mp $63-64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3540,2960$, 2930, 2860. 2820, 1545, 1440, 1415, 1270, 1250, 1190, 1150, 1075, 980, 900, 890; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.91-3.85 (m, 2 H), 3.17 (s, 3 H ), 2.36 (d, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.00 (s, 3 H ), 0.95 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 144.21, 125.02, 75.58, 70.95, 68.71, 54.63, 38.65, 33.26, 27.86, 26.40, 24.07, 23.83, 23.17, 20.89, 18.40, 15.19; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ calcd 255.1330, obsd 255.1374; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+65^{\circ}$ (c $1.18, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of $\mathbf{3 0}$. Crystals of $\mathbf{3 0}$ are clear, colorless rectangular rods. Upon exposure to X -rays, one crystal became cloudy and its diffraction profiles were noted to be significantly broadened with decreasing intensities. With this in mind, a second crystal was sealed inside a capillary tube for data collection. Examination of the diffraction pattern on a Rigaku ARC5 diffractometer indicated that the crystal system is monoclinic with systematic absences $0 k 0, k=2 n+1$. Since the crystal is expected to contain a single enantiomer and a reasonable density is obtained with two molecules in the unit cell, the space group is assumed to be $P 2_{1}$. At room temperature, the cell constants a $=9.629$ (1) $\AA, b=8.730$ (1) $\AA, c=10.037$ (2) $\AA$, and $\beta=107.86$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ are based on a least-squares fit of the diffractometer setting angles for 25 reflections in the $2 \theta$ range of $29-30^{\circ}$ with Mo $K \alpha$ radiation.

Intensities were measured by the $\omega-2 \theta$ scan method. During the course of data collection there was an interruption of power to the generator. Upon firing up of the X-ray tube and resumption of data collection, the structure factor values obtained for the set of six standard reflection were, on average, $81 \%$ of their original values. Prior to this point, the standards appeared to be stable. Because of observations noted above with the first crystal, this crystal was most likely decomposing as a result of X-ray exposure. This sudden decrease in intensities was accounted for by including two scale factors in the least-squares refinements. All calculations were done with the TEXSAN package of crystallographic programs. ${ }^{50}$

The position of the chlorine atom was located on a Patterson map and was used as a phasing model in DIRD<<iF. ${ }^{51}$ Most of the atoms appeared on the resulting electron density map and the remainder of the atoms were then located by standard Fourier techniques. The correct enantiomer was chosen on the basis of the known chemistry which generated this molecule. After the anisotropic stage of refinement had been reached, hydrogen atoms were located on a difference electron density map and were then included in the model as fixed contributions in calculated positions with the assumptions $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.98 \AA$ and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{H}}=$ 1.2Beq( C ). The hydrogen atoms bonded to the methyl carbon atoms were idealized to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ geometry. The hydrogen atom bonded to oxygen was included in the model at its position as located on a difference electron density map. The final refinement cycle for the 1223 intensities with $F_{0}^{2}>1 \sigma\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)$ and the 172 variables resulted in agreement indices of $R=0.052$ and $R_{w}=0.048$. The final difference electron density map contains maximum and minimum peak heights of 0.21 and $-0.22 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$. Scattering factors were obtained from the usual sources. ${ }^{52}$ There is an intermolecular hydrogen bond between $O(1)$ and $O(2)$, where the $O$ (1) $\ldots \mathrm{O}(2)$ distance is 2.944 (5) $\AA$.

Vinylmagnesium Bromide Induced Pinacol Rearrangement of 29, A solution of vinylmagnesium bromide in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 3.62 mL of $0.90 \mathrm{M}, 3.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $29(310 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of anhydrous benzene at $0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a preheated oil bath for 15 min , quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated. MPLC purification of the residue (silica gel, elution with $8 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) furnished 85 mg of 31 and 45 mg of 32 in a total yield of $43 \%$.

For 31: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3560,3400,3010.2980,2940$, $2870,1640,1450,1410,1375,1200,1185,1130,1075,995,980,920$, 830; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.87$ (dd, $J=10.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ (dd, $J=1.8,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01$ (dd, $J=1.8,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.09 (s, 3 H ), 2.15-1.71 (m, 5 H ), 1.52-1.08 (m, 6 H$), 0.98-0.86$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.72-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 147.88, $139.53,128.77,111.08,73.34,71.59,54.31$, $53.61,38.83,27.70,27.20,26.77,23.91,23.61,22.57$ (2 C), 21.91, 18.42; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 276.2090. obsd 276.2120; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+42^{\circ}$ (c 1.49, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ ).

For 32: colorless solid; $\mathrm{mp} 89-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from petroleum ether); 1R $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3540,3480,3010,2950,2870,2820,1640,1450,1405$, 1380, 1360, 1200, 1135, 1080, 100, 985, 930; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. $5.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.6,10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72$
(dd, $J=2.4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.11(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.04-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{I} \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 143.77, $141.59,125.22,112.02,79.54$, 71.02, 54.63, 45.24, 27.87, 27.78, 27.10, 26.95, 24.14, 23.23, 22.81, 17.93, 15.15; MS m/z ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) calcd 258.1983, obsd 258.2007; [ $\left.\alpha\right]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $+159^{\circ}\left(c 1.24, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; \mathrm{C}, 78.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.22$. Found: C, $78.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.18$.

Vinylmagnesium Bromide Induced Pinacol Rearrangement of $\mathbf{3 0}$. Reaction of $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $449 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with vinylmagnesium bromide ( 5.25 mL of $0.90 \mathrm{M}, 4.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the predescribed manner provided after MPLC purification (silica gel, elution with $8 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) 106 mg of 33 and 250 mg of 34 for a composite yield of $82 \%$.

For 33: colorless crystals; $\mathrm{mp} 94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from petroleum ether): IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 3600,3430,3000,2980,2940,2865.2820,1635,1460$, $1450,1410,1375,1195,1170,1130,1085,995,970,920$ $^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta 5.84$ (dd, $\left.J=10.6,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 5.70(\mathrm{~d} . J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.9,10.6, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), 3.81 (t, $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.1-1.9(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.8-1.5$ (m, 5 H ), 1.5-1.2 (m, 3 H ), $1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.2-0.75$ (m, 2 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 147.51, 141.33, 127.14, 110.59 , 74.54, 73.62, 55.15, 53.82, 40.22, 28.36, 28.16, 26.80, 24.14, 23.62, 22.99, 21.71, 18.99, 15.83; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ calcd 244.1827, obsd 244.1806; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{D}+96^{\circ}\left(c 1.73, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$.

For 34: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3580, 3405, 3000, 2980, 2940, 2870, 2820, 1635, 1460, 1450, 1400, 1375, 1335, 1200, $1170,1130,1120$, $1085,1070,1000,980,965,925,850$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.24$ (dd, $J=10.8,18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.2-2.0(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.0-0.80$ (series of $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \mathrm{ppm} 141.08,140.14,128.14,113.87,77.42,74.42$, $57.36,56.25,41.42,29.74,27.71,27.10,23.95,23.90,22.91,22.83,17.96$, 15.02; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 276.2089, obsd 276.2108 .

X-ray Crystallographic Analysis of $33,{ }^{53-55}$ A transparent single crystal of 33 was mounted on a pin and transferred to the goniometer. The crystal was cooled to $-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during data collection by use of a stream of cold nitrogen gas. The space group was determined to be acentric $P 6_{5}$ (or $P 6_{1}$ ) from the systematic absences. Successful refinement of the correct absolute configuration (determined spectroscopically) was carried out in $P 6_{5}$. A summary of data collection parameters is given in Table 1 .

Least-squares refinement with isotropic thermal parameters led to $R$ $=0.958$. The B hydrogen atoms were located from a difference Fourier map and isotropically refined. Refinement of non-hydrogen atoms with anisotropic temperature factors led to the final values of $R=0.049$ and $R_{w}=0.059$. The final values of the positional parameters are provided in the supplementary material.

Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 31, A, Acidic Workup. To a suspension of potassium hydride ( 140 mg of $24 \%$ in oil, 0.826 mmol ) and 18 -crown-6 ( $219 \mathrm{mg}, 0.827 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) was added a solution of $31(45.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.165 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the same solvent ( 5 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 45 min and at reflux for 2 h , cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 3 mL ). The resultant mixture was poured into $20 \%$ ammonium chloride solution ( 15 mL ) and extracted with ether $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried, and evaporated. MPLC purification (silica gel, elution with $2 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) gave 19.9 mg ( $47 \%$ ) of 37 and 9.6 mg ( $24 \%$ ) of 38 , both as colorless oils.

For 37: IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3005,2930,2860,2835,1705,1450,1380$, $1240,1225,1170,1150,1140,1120,1000,9500^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.3,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.0,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.34-2.28 (m, 2 H), 2.26-2.20 (m, 1 H), 2.12-2.03 (m, 2 H), 1.93-1.88 (m, 1 H), 1.85-1.78 (m, 2 H ), 1.73-1.69 (m, 1 H), 1.56-1.46 (m, 2 H ), $1.37-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.96-0.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 210.29, 144.36, $115.55,53.45$, 43.10, 41.54, 33.81, 32.37, 29.61, 27.88, 27.80, 24.62, 23.03, 20.84, 17.70, 16.41, 14.65; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 244.1827, obsd 244.1818; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-57^{\circ}$ (c $0.44, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
(53) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX76, a system of computer programs for $X$-ray structure determination as locally modified; University of Cambridge: Cambridge, England, 1976.
(54) International Tables for X-ray Crystallography; Kynoch Press: Birmingham, England, 1974; Vol. IV, pp 72, 99, 149. (Present distributor: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrect).
(55) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXS. In Crystallographic Computing 3; Sheldrick, G. M., Kruger, C., Goodard, R., Eds.; Oxford University Press: Oxford 1985; pp 175-189

For 38: colorless oil; IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3000, 2930, 2865, 2835, 1705 , $1450,1410,1380,1360,1245,1225,1170,1155,1140,1120,1000,950$, 870; 'H NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) $\delta 5.08$ (dd, $J=2.4,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.10 (ddd, $J=3.9,9.9,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.9,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.33-2.24$ (m, 2 H ), 2.14 (ddd, $J=3.4,12.5,18.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.95$ (m, I H), 1.94-1.84 (m, 2 H ), 1.79 (br t, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.70-1.66 (m, 1 H), 1.40-1.25(m, 2 H$), 1.25-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.01-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.61-0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 210.16, 145.37, 112.89, 54.43, 46.33, 43.18, 32.19, $32.02,30.92,29.20,27.99,23.77,23.52,20.56,17.61,16.51,14.37 ;$ MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 244.1827, obsd 244.1812; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}^{-49^{\circ}}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.72, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 83.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.91$. Found: C, 83.63; H, 9.93.
B. Ethanolic Workup. A mixture of 31 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.145 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium hydride ( 19 mg of $24 \%$ in oil, 0.47 mmol ), 18 -crown-6 ( 115 mg , 0.47 mmol ), and anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was stirred at room temperature for 45 min , refluxed under argon for 2 h , and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ethanol ( 1.0 mL ) was introduced and the resulting solution was poured into water ( 10 mL ) and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried, and evaporated. MPLC purification (silica gel, elution with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) gave 14.2 mg of a mixture of 37 and 38 ( $40 \%$ combined yield) and returned 14.7 mg of starting alcohol ( $37 \%$ recovery). Further MPLC separation ( $2 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) provided equal amounts of 37 and 38.

C, Equilibration Study. A solution of 31 ( 5 mg ) in 1 mL of methanol was treated with 0.5 mL of 0.044 M methanolic sodium methoxide and stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution, and extracted with ether. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to leave 4.7 mg ( $94 \%$ ) of a $2: 1$ mixture of 37 and 38.

Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 33. A, Acidic Workup. To a suspension of potassium hydride ( 157 mg of $24 \%$ in oil, 0.935 mmol washed with dry petroleum ether) and 18 -crown- 6 ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 0.935 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 10 mL ) was added a solution of $33(51.8 \mathrm{mg}$ 0.187 mmol ) in the same solvent ( 5 mL ) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min , refluxed for 5 h , cooled to -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 2 mL ) This mixture was poured into $20 \%$ ammonium chloride solution ( 15 mL ) and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatog. raphy of the residue on silica gel (elution with $2 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) afforded $15.8 \mathrm{mg}(35 \%)$ of 42 as a colorless solid and 18.4 mg ( $36 \%$ ) of 45 as a colorless oil.

For 42: mp 71-72 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ether); IR (mull, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2950, 2910, 2850 , $1705,1460,1375,1195,1160,1135,1000,960,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.31(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.1$, $9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.31-2.27 (m, 1 H), 2.18-2.13(m, 1 H), 2.02-1.98 (m, 1 H$), 1.74-1.65$ (m, 1 H). $1.58-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95$ (s, 3 H ), $0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ppm $212.25,138.92,119.29,53.27,47.28,45.51,36.42,32.78,29.74,28.85$, 28.72, 25.63, 25.47. 22.40, 19.84, 18.19, 15.70; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 244.1827, obsd 244.1811; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-18^{\circ}\left(c 0.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
B. Ethanolic Workup. A mixture of $33(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.218 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{po}-$ tassium hydride ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ in oil, 0.652 mmol ) and 18 -crown-6 ( 160 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.652 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry tetrahydrofuran ( 15 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 30 min and refluxed under argon for 5 h . After the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ethanol ( 2.0 mL ) was introduced and the mixture was subsequently poured into water, extracted with ether, and processed as above. There was isolated 12.4 mg (24\%) of $\mathbf{4 2}, 4.4 \mathrm{mg}$
(8\%) of 41 , and 14 mg (23\%) of 45
For 41: colorless oil; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) 2980,2940,2860,2820,1690$, 1450, 1370; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.46$ (br s, 1 H ), 2.95 (dd, $J=4.0,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-1.20$ (series of $\mathrm{m}, 14$ H), $1.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} 214.43,137.02,124.62,54.07,51.54,42.30$, $32.34,32.14,29.39,28.69,28.55,27.26,25.57,23.16,21.87,18.96,16.66 ;$ MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 244.1824, obsd 244.1827; $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-55^{\circ}$ (c 0.42, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).
C. Equilibration Study. A solution of ketones 41 and $42(4.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1: 1$ ratio) in tetrahydrofuran ( 1.0 ratio) in tetrahydrofuran ( 1.0 mL ) was treated with 1.5 mL of 0.1 M sodium methoxide in methanol, stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature, diluted with water ( 5 mL ), and extracted with ether. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried, and evaporated to leave 3.6 mg of a white solid consisting exclusively of $\mathbf{4 2}$ ( $300 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis).

Anionic Oxy-Cope Rearrangement of 34. A mixture of potassium hydride ( 265 mg of $24 \%$ in oil, freshly rinsed with dry petroleum ether, 1.58 mmol ) 18 -crown-6 ( $418 \mathrm{mg}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and 34 ( $146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.53$ mmol ) in 12 mL of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran was stirred at room temperature until hydrogen evolution ceased, refluxed for 2 h under argon, and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Following the addition of ethanol ( 1 mL ), the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , poured into water, and extracted with ether. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried, and evaporated. Chromatographic purification of the residue on Florisil (elution with $5 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) furnished 98 mg ( $67 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{4 5}$ as a colorless oil: IR (neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2980, 2920, 2865, 2820, 1705, 1450, 1410, 1375, 1220, 1205,1195 , $1140,1120,1095,1005,980,845,800 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) \delta$ $4.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,12.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{t} . J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), 3.03-2.88 (m, 2 H), 2.49-2.38 (m, 2 H ), 2.38-2.15 (m, 1 H), 2.10-1.90 (m, 3 H), 1.90-1.00 (series of $\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.65$ (m, 2 H ), 0.79 (s, 3 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$ ) ppm 212.36, 140.21, 129.41, 84.08, 55.76, 45.41, 34.87, 30.31, 28.94 (2 C), 28.84, 28.71, 27.69, 25.02, 23.88, 17.69, 16.53, 14.43; MS $m / z\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$calcd 276.2089, obsd 276.2085; $[\alpha]^{20}{ }^{D}+12^{\circ}\left(c l .27, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 78.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.22$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 78.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.23$.
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